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#### Abstract

We study the homogeneous Schwarz problem for Douglis analytic functions. We consider two-dimensional matrices $J$ with a multiple eigenvalue and a eigenvector, which is not proportional to a real vector. We obtain a sufficient condition for the matrix $J$ under which there exist two linearly independent solutions of the problem defined in a certain domain $D$. We present an example.
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1. Basic definitions and statement of the problem. Assume that a matrix $J \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ has no real eigenvalues. Let $\omega=\omega(z) \in C^{1}(D)$ be an $n$-vector-valued function, where $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a domain. Let us consider the following homogeneous elliptic system of first-order partial differential equations in $D$ (see $[3,6,7])$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y}-J \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}=0, \quad z \in D \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1 (see $[2,3,5-7]$ ). A function $\omega(z)$ considered as a solution of (1) is called a Douglis analytical function or a $J$-analytical function. We say that the function $\omega(z)$ corresponds to the matrix $J$.

A proof of the fact that the system (1) is elliptic can be found in [5]. Examples of $J$-analytical functions are vector polynomials of the form

$$
\omega(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{m}(x E+y J)^{k} \cdot c_{k}, \quad c_{k} \in \mathbb{C}^{n},
$$

where $E$ is the identity matrix.
Let us consider the following homogeneous Schwarz problem for the system (1) (see [2, 3, 6, 7]).
Let a simply connected domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be bounded by a smooth contour $\Gamma$. Find a J-analytical function $\omega(z) \in C(\bar{D})$ with the matrix $J$ satisfying the the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\operatorname{Re} \omega(z)\right|_{\Gamma}=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The obvious solutions of the problem (2) are constant vectors $\omega \equiv i c$, where $c \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, which are called trivial (constant) solutions. As is known (see [4]), only constants are solutions of the problem (2) for $n=1$. However, this is invalid in general for $n>1$. We present an example for $n=2$. Let

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
4 i & 9  \tag{3}\\
1 & -2 i
\end{array}\right), \quad \omega(z)=\binom{2 x^{2}+4 y^{2}-1-2 i x y}{-i\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)} .
$$

In (3), the matrix $J$ has the eigenvalue $\lambda=i$ of multiplicity 2 . The function $\omega(z)$ corresponds to the matrix $J$ according to Definition 1. Here $\left.\operatorname{Re} \omega(z)\right|_{\Gamma}=0$ on the ellipse $\Gamma: 2 x^{2}+4 y^{2}=1$.

[^0]Before constructing nonconstant solutions of the problem (2) for $n=2$ in domains other than ellipses, we reduce it to an equivalent scalar form.
2. Scalar form of the homogeneous Schwarz problem for $n=2$ and $\lambda=i$. Assume that a matrix $J \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ has a multiple eigenvalue $\lambda=i$. We denote by $J_{1}$ and $Q$, respectively, the Jordan form and Jordan basis of the matrix $J$ :

$$
J_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
i & 0  \tag{4}\\
1 & i
\end{array}\right), \quad Q=(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}), \quad J=Q J_{1} Q^{-1}
$$

Moreover, we assume that the eigenvector $\boldsymbol{y}$ is not proportional to a real vector. We decompose the complex conjugate vector $\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}$ with respect to the Jordan basis $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$ of the matrix $J$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}=l_{1} \boldsymbol{x}+l_{2} \boldsymbol{y}, \quad l_{1}, l_{2} \in \mathbb{C}, \quad l_{1}=l_{1}(J)=\frac{\operatorname{det}(\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}, \boldsymbol{y})}{\operatorname{det}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})}, \quad l_{2}=l_{2}(J)=\frac{\operatorname{det}(\boldsymbol{x}, \overline{\boldsymbol{y}})}{\operatorname{det}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (5), we find the numbers $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$ by the Cramer formulas. Below we need only the number $l_{1}$. Let us prove the following property.

Proposition 1. The absolute value $\left|l_{1}\right|$ of the number $l_{1}$ in (5) is independent of the choice of the Jordan basis $Q$ of the matrix $J$.

Proof. Since the matrix $J$ has a unique eigenvector $\boldsymbol{y}$, any other its Jordan basis $Q_{1}$ can be written as follows:

$$
Q_{1}=(c \boldsymbol{x}+b \boldsymbol{y}, \quad a \boldsymbol{y}), \quad a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}, \quad a, c \neq 0 .
$$

It is easy to show that $c=a$, i.e.,

$$
Q_{1}=\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{y}_{1}\right)=(a \boldsymbol{x}+b \boldsymbol{y}, a \boldsymbol{y}), \quad a, b \in \mathbb{C}, \quad a \neq 0 .
$$

Assume that the number $l_{1}^{*}$ was found by the formula (5), where instead of the basis $Q$ we take the basis $Q_{1}$. Then, taking into account the properties of the determinant, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{1}^{*}=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}_{1}, \boldsymbol{y}_{1}\right)}{\operatorname{det}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{y}_{1}\right)}=\frac{\operatorname{det}(\overline{a \overline{\boldsymbol{y}}, a \boldsymbol{y})}}{\operatorname{det}(a \boldsymbol{x}+b \boldsymbol{y}, a \boldsymbol{y})}=\frac{\operatorname{det}(\bar{a} \overline{\boldsymbol{y}}, a \boldsymbol{y})}{\operatorname{det}(a \boldsymbol{x}, a \boldsymbol{y})}=\frac{\bar{a} a}{a^{2}} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{det}(\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}, \boldsymbol{y})}{\operatorname{det}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})}=\frac{|a|^{2}}{a^{2}} \cdot l_{1}, \quad a \neq 0 . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $\left|l_{1}^{*}\right|=\left|l_{1}\right|$.
Remark 1. It follows from (6) that the numbers $l_{1}$ and $l_{1}^{*}$ themselves depend on the choice of the Jordan basis. They will be different if $a \notin \mathbb{R}$.

Let us consider a new basis $Q^{\prime}=(\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}, \boldsymbol{y})$ of the operator $J$. Since $J \boldsymbol{x}=i \boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{y}, J \boldsymbol{y}=i \boldsymbol{y}$, by virtue of (4), taking into account (5), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
J \overline{\boldsymbol{y}}=J\left(l_{1} \boldsymbol{x}+l_{2} \boldsymbol{y}\right)=i l_{1} \boldsymbol{x}+l_{1} \boldsymbol{y}+i l_{2} \boldsymbol{y}=i\left(l_{1} \boldsymbol{x}+l_{2} \boldsymbol{y}\right)+l_{1} \boldsymbol{y}=i \overline{\boldsymbol{y}}+l_{1} \boldsymbol{y} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the matrix $J_{1}^{\prime}=\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{-1} J Q^{\prime}$ of the operator $J$ in the new basis $Q^{\prime}=(\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}, \boldsymbol{y})$ has the following form:

$$
J_{1}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
i & 0  \tag{8}\\
l_{1} & i
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Substituting $J=Q^{\prime} J_{1}^{\prime}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ in (1) and multiplying both sides of the resulting equation by the matrix $\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ from the left, we obtain the following relation:

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\binom{f}{g}-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
i & 0  \tag{9}\\
l_{1} & i
\end{array}\right) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\binom{f}{g}=0, \quad(f, g)^{T}=\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \omega, \quad Q^{\prime}=(\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}, \boldsymbol{y}) .
$$

We introduce the complex variable $\zeta=x+i y, \bar{\zeta}=x-i y$. We take into account the following fact: if a function $f(\zeta)$ is holomorphic in a domain $D$, then $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}=\frac{d f}{d \zeta}, \zeta \in D$. Assume that $f(\zeta)=u+i v$. The following equalities follow from (9):

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x, y)=l_{1} \cdot y \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}+F_{1}=l_{1} \cdot\left(\frac{\zeta-\bar{\zeta}}{2 i}\right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}+F_{1}=\frac{i l_{1}}{2} \cdot \bar{\zeta} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}+F=l \cdot \bar{\zeta} \frac{d f}{d \zeta}+F=p+i q, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
F=\frac{l_{1}}{2 i} \zeta \frac{d f}{d \zeta}+F_{1}, \quad l=\frac{i l_{1}}{2},
$$

$f=f(\zeta), F_{1}=F_{1}(\zeta), F=F(\zeta)$ are arbitrary holomorphic functions in $D$. In (10), $u, v, p$, and $q$ denote the real-valued functions of the variables $x$ and $y$.

Let a function $\omega=\omega(\zeta)$ be a solution of the homogeneous Schwarz problem (2) defined in a certain domain $D$. Let $\boldsymbol{y}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=(a+b i, c+d i)$, where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$. Then taking into account (9), we represent the function $\omega(\zeta)$ in the form

$$
\omega(\zeta)=Q^{\prime} \cdot(f, g)^{T}=(\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}, \boldsymbol{y}) \cdot(f, g)^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{a}_{1} & a_{1}  \tag{11}\\
\bar{a}_{2} & a_{2}
\end{array}\right) \cdot\binom{u+i v}{p+i q} .
$$

The boundary condition (2), i.e., the equality $\left.\operatorname{Re} \omega(\zeta)\right|_{\Gamma}=0$, takes the following form in the notation of (11):

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left.\operatorname{Re}\left[\bar{a}_{1}(u+i v)+a_{1}(p+i q)\right]\right|_{\Gamma}=0,  \tag{12}\\
\left.\operatorname{Re}\left[\bar{a}_{2}(u+i v)+a_{2}(p+i q)\right]\right|_{\Gamma}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We consider (12) as an inhomogeneous algebraic system with respect to the variables $u$ and $v$. Since, by the assumption, the eigenvector $\boldsymbol{y}$ of the matrix $J$ is not proportional to a real vector, the determinant of this system is nonzero:

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right| \neq 0 .
$$

In addition, the following identity holds for $k=1,2$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\operatorname{Re}\left[\bar{a}_{k}(u+i v)+a_{k}(p+i q)\right]\right|_{\substack{u=-p \\ v=q}}=\operatorname{Re}\left[\bar{a}_{k}(-p+i q)-\overline{\bar{a}_{k}(-p+i q)}\right]=0 . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the unique solution of (12) with respect to the variables $u$ and $v$ has the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=-p, \quad v=q . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In turn, the pair of equalities (14) is equivalent to the complex equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p+i q)+(u-i v)=0, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which holds on the contour $\Gamma$. Taking into account the notation (10) and (5), we rewrite Eq. (15) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
l \cdot \bar{\zeta} \frac{d f}{d \zeta}+\bar{f}+\left.F\right|_{\Gamma}=0, \quad f, F \in C^{1}(\bar{D}), \quad l=l(J)=\frac{i}{2} l_{1}=\frac{i}{2} \frac{\operatorname{det}(\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}, \boldsymbol{y})}{\operatorname{det}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})}, \quad \bar{\zeta}=x-i y \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result, due to the invertibility of the transformations performed and taking into account (9), (10), and (8), we arrive at the assertion.

Theorem 1. Let a matrix $J$ have the form (4) and its eigenvector $\boldsymbol{y}$ is not proportional to a real vector. Let solutions $f(\zeta)$ and $F(\zeta), \zeta \in D$, of the problem (16) be known, where the number $l \in \mathbb{C}$ is
found by the formula (16). Then the function $\omega(z)$ corresponding to the same matrix $J=Q^{\prime} J_{1}^{\prime}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$, considered as a solution of the problem (2), can be found by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(\zeta)=Q^{\prime} \cdot(f, g)^{T}=(\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}, \boldsymbol{y}) \cdot(f, g)^{T}=\left(f, l \cdot \bar{\zeta} \frac{d f}{d \zeta}+F\right)^{T} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The converse statement is also valid: If a solution $\omega(\zeta) \in C^{1}(\bar{D})$ of the problem (2) exists, then one can construct a solution $f, F$ of the problem (16).

We note the following important consequence of Eq. (16).
Remark 2. We represent the number $l \in \mathbb{C}$ in (16) in the exponential form: $l=|l| e^{i \xi}$. In (16), we perform the substitution $f=f_{1} e^{-i \xi /}, F=F_{1} e^{i \xi / 2}$. Then after cancellation by $e^{i \xi / 2}$ the problem (16) takes the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|l| \cdot \bar{\zeta} \frac{d f_{1}}{d \zeta}+\bar{f}_{1}+\left.F_{1}\right|_{\Gamma}=0, \quad f_{1}, F_{1} \in C^{1}(\bar{D}), \quad|l|=\frac{1}{2}\left|\frac{\operatorname{det}(\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}, \boldsymbol{y})}{\operatorname{det}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})}\right| . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the problems (16) and (18) are equivalent. Therefore, without loss of generality, we may examine the problem (16) only for $l=|l|$.
3. Construction of two linearly independent solutions of the homogeneous Schwarz problem. First, we construct solutions for a matrix $J$ of the form (4), and then we generalize the result to $(2 \times 2)$-matrices with an arbitrary multiple eigenvalue $\lambda$. Our main result is Theorem 3 .

We consider the following complex polynomial $\zeta(z)$ with the real parameter $a$, where $|a|>2$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(z)=z^{2}+a z=\left(z+\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2}-\frac{a^{2}}{4}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}, \quad|a|>2 . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2. The polynomial $\zeta(z)$ with $|a|>2$ conformally maps the unit circle $K$ with boundary $\gamma$ to a certain domain $D$ with the boundary $\Gamma$, where $\zeta(\gamma)=\Gamma$.

Proof. Note that $d \zeta / d z=2 z+a \neq 0$, if $|z| \leq 1$ and $|a|>2$. The function inverse to (19) has the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(\zeta)=\sqrt{\zeta+\frac{a^{2}}{4}}-\frac{a}{2}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}, \quad|a|>2 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function (20) has a branch point $z_{0}=-a^{2} / 4$. One can take any of two branches of the square root. But when determining the selected branch of the root in (20), we assume that $\arg \left(\zeta+a^{2} / 4\right) \in(-\pi,+\pi]$, so that the cut line runs along the ray $\left(-\infty, z_{0}\right]$.

In (19), we perform the substitution $z=e^{i t}, t \in(-\pi,+\pi]$. Then we obtain the following parametrization of the contour $\Gamma$ :

$$
\Gamma=\zeta(\gamma):\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x=\cos 2 t+a \cos t,  \tag{21}\\
y=\sin 2 t+a \sin t,
\end{array} \quad t \in(-\pi, \pi], \quad|a|>2\right.
$$

We find points where $y(t)$ in (21) vanishes, i.e., solve the equation

$$
\sin 2 t+a \sin t=2 \sin t \cos t+a \sin t=\sin t(2 \cos t+a)=0
$$

For $|a|>2$, this equation has only two solutions: $t=\{\pi ; 0\} \in(-\pi, \pi]$, i.e., the curve $\Gamma$ intersects the axis $O x$ only at two points $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$. According to the first equation (21), these points have coordinates $z_{1}=x(\pi)=1-a, z_{2}=x(0)=1+a$, and for $|a|>2$ they lie to the right of the branch point $z_{0}=-a^{2} / 4$. Thus, $\Gamma$ does not intersect the ray $\left(-\infty, z_{0}\right]$ containing the cut of the function $\bar{D}$. Therefore, the closure $\bar{D}$ of the domain $D$ does not intersect the ray ( $\left.\infty, z_{0}\right]$. Hence $\bar{D}$ lies in the domain of holomorphy of the function $z(\zeta)$.

We state the following assertion, which will be used later.

Proposition 3. For $a=a_{0}$ and $a=-a_{0}, a_{0} \neq 0$, the formula (21) defines the same contour $\Gamma$.
Proof. Let $a=a_{0}$ and let the vector-valued function (21) take a certain value at $t=t_{0}$. If $a=-a_{0}$, then (21) takes the same value at $t=t_{0}+\pi$.

Remark 3. As is well known (see [1]), the interior of a circle cannot be conformally mapped into the interior of an ellipse by an elementary function. Therefore, the contour $\Gamma$ defined by the formulas (21) is an ellipse.

We use the function (19) for constructing two linearly independent solutions of the problem (2) corresponding to a matrix $J$ of the form (4) and defined in the same domain $D$ with boundary $\Gamma$ given by (21). To do this, by virtue of Theorem 1 and Remark 2, we must find two holomorphic functions $f$ and $F$ in $D$ as solutions of Eq. (16) for some values of the real parameter $l=l(J)$.

As above, let $K$ be the unit circle and $\gamma=\partial K$. In (16) we perform the substitutions $\zeta=\zeta(z)$, $f(\zeta)=f(\zeta(z))=f(z)$, and $F(\zeta)=F(\zeta(z))=F(z)$, where $\zeta(z)$ has the form (19). We obtain the following functional equation:

$$
l \cdot \bar{\zeta}(z) \cdot \frac{d f / d z}{d \zeta / d z}+\bar{f}(z)+\left.F(z)\right|_{\gamma}=0, \quad \frac{d \zeta}{d z} \neq 0, \quad z \in K=\zeta^{-1}(D), \quad l \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Now we multiply both sides of the last equality by $\partial \zeta / \partial z$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
l \cdot \bar{\zeta} \cdot \frac{d f}{d z}+\bar{f} \cdot \frac{d \zeta}{d z}+\left.F(z) \cdot \frac{d \zeta}{d z}\right|_{\gamma}=0, \quad z \in K, \quad l \in \mathbb{R} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functions $f$ and $F$ in (22) are defined in the unit circle $K$ with boundary $\gamma=\partial K$. We construct holomorphic functions $f=f(z)$ and $F=F(z)$ as solutions of Eq. (22). Let $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ be indefinite coefficients. In (22), we set

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(z)=c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}, \quad \bar{f}(z)=c_{1} \bar{z}+c_{2} \bar{z}^{2}, \quad \frac{d f}{d z}=c_{1}+2 c_{2} z  \tag{23}\\
\zeta(z)=z^{2}+a z, \quad \bar{\zeta}(z)=\bar{z}^{2}+a \bar{z}, \quad \frac{d \zeta}{d z}=2 z+a, \quad F=F(z) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Now we perform the substitutions (23) in (22) and set $z=e^{i t}$. Then for determining the numbers $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ and the function $F(z)$, we get the following expression:

$$
\begin{align*}
& l \cdot\left(e^{-2 i t}+a e^{-i t}\right) \cdot\left(c_{1}+2 c_{2} e^{i t}\right)+\left(c_{1} e^{-i t}+c_{2} e^{-2 i t}\right)\left(2 e^{i t}+a\right) \\
&+F\left(e^{i t}\right) \cdot\left(2 e^{i t}+a\right) \equiv 0, \quad t \in(-\pi, \pi], \quad l \in \mathbb{R} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Equating the coefficients of $e^{-i t}$ and $e^{-2 i t}$ in the first two terms of (24) to zero, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(l+1) c_{1}+2(l+1) c_{2}=0, \quad l c_{1}+a c_{2}=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then taking into account (25), we reduce (24) to the following form:

$$
2 l a c_{2}+2 c_{1}+\left.(2 z+a) F(z)\right|_{\gamma}=0
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=-\frac{2\left(l a c_{2}+c_{1}\right)}{2 z+a}, \quad 2 z+a \neq 0, \quad|a|>2, \quad|z| \leq 1 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equalities (25) form a system of linear homogeneous algebraic equations for the real variables $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$. The determinant of the system (25) has the form

$$
\Delta=(l+1)\left(a^{2}-2 l\right) ;
$$

therefore, $\Delta=0$ for $l=-1$ and for $l=a^{2} / 2$.

It is easy to show that for $l=-1$, nontrivial solutions (25) yield the following solutions for (23) and (26):

$$
f(z)=c_{2} \zeta(z), \quad F(z)=0 .
$$

In this case,

$$
f(z(\zeta))=c_{2} \zeta(z(\zeta))=c_{2} \zeta
$$

Therefore, using the formula (17), we obtain the linear function $\omega(\zeta)$ as a solution of the problem (2). But a linear function can be a solution to the homogeneous Schwarz problem in a finite domain $D$ only if $\operatorname{Re} \omega(\zeta) \equiv 0$. Such solutions are quite trivial, and it makes no sense to study them.

Let us consider the second case:

$$
\begin{equation*}
l=\frac{a^{2}}{2}, \quad a= \pm \sqrt{2 l} . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that for some matrix $J$ of the form (4), the number $l$ is such that $l=|l|>2$ (see (16)). Here, for definiteness, we set $a=+\sqrt{2 l}>2$ in (27); then Proposition 2 is valid. Take any nonzero solution $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ of the system (25) for the values of the parameters $l=l$ and $a=+\sqrt{2 l}$. Hence we obtain the functions $f(z)$ and $F(z)$ (see (23) and (26)). Next, performing the substitution (20), due to the invertibility of the transformation of Eq. (16) into Eq. (22), we obtain the functions $f(\zeta)$ and $F(\zeta)$ as solutions of Eq. (16) for $l=|l|$ in the domain $D$ with boundary $\Gamma$ (see (21)).

Now we assume that for a matrix $J$ of the form (4), the number $l$ is such that $l=|l| e^{i \xi}$, where $\xi \neq 0$ and $|l|>2$. Then for the values of the parameters $l=|l|$ and $a=+\sqrt{2|l|}$, we also find a solution $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ of the system (25) and, respectively, the functions $f_{1}$ and $F_{1}$ (see (23) and (26)). Then we perform the substitution (20) and apply the substitutions $f_{1}=e^{i \xi / 2} \cdot f$ and $F_{1}=e^{-i \xi / 2} \cdot F$, which are inverse to those made in Remark 2. As a result, we obtain solutions $f(\zeta)$ and $F(\zeta)$ of Eq. (16) for given $l$. Then, in both cases, using the formula (17) and taking into account Theorem 1, we construct a solution $\omega_{a}^{+}(\zeta)$ of the homogeneous Schwarz problem, which is defined in the domain $D$ with boundary $\Gamma$ (see (21)) and corresponds to thematrix $J$ of the form (4).

Now in (27), we set $a=-\sqrt{2|l|}$. Then, using the scheme described above, we obtain another solution $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ of the system (25) and, respectively, another solution $\omega_{a}^{-}(\zeta)$ of the homogeneous Schwarz problem. But at the same time, the number $l$ remains the same. Therefore, the solution of $\omega_{a}^{-}(\zeta)$ corresponds to the same matrix $J$ of the form (4). According to Proposition 3, this solution is defined in the same domain $D$ with the boundary $\Gamma$ (see (21)).

For the solutions constructed, we prove the following assertion .
Proposition 4. The solutions $\omega_{a}^{+}(\zeta)$ and $\omega_{a}^{-}(\zeta), \zeta \in D$, of the homogeneous Schwarz problem are linearly independent.

Proof. On the contrary, assume that $\alpha_{1} \omega_{a}^{+}+\alpha_{2} \omega_{a}^{-}=0$, where at least one of the numbers $\alpha_{1}$ or $\alpha_{2}$ is nonzero. According to (17) we have

$$
\omega_{a}^{+}=Q^{\prime} \cdot\left(f_{1}, g_{1}\right), \quad \omega_{a}^{-}=Q^{\prime} \cdot\left(f_{2}, g_{2}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\alpha_{1} Q^{\prime} \cdot\left(f_{1}, g_{1}\right)^{T}+\alpha_{2} Q^{\prime} \cdot\left(f_{2}, g_{2}\right)^{T}=0, \quad\left|\alpha_{1}\right|+\left|\alpha_{2}\right| \neq 0
$$

Multiplying both sides of this relation by the matrix $\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ from the left, we obtain the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1} f_{1}+\alpha_{2} f_{2}=0, \quad\left|\alpha_{1}\right|+\left|\alpha_{2}\right| \neq 0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means that the functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are linearly dependent. But according to (25), (23), and (20), for $l=l$ and $a=+\sqrt{2|l|}$ we have

$$
f_{1}(\zeta)=z-\left.\frac{\sqrt{2|l|}}{2} z^{2}\right|_{z=\sqrt{\zeta+\frac{|l|}{2}}-\sqrt{\frac{l \mid}{2}}}=(1+|l|) \sqrt{\zeta+\frac{|l|}{2}}-\sqrt{\frac{|l|}{2}} \zeta-(1+|l|) \sqrt{\frac{|l|}{2}} .
$$

At the same time, for $l=l$ and $a=-\sqrt{2|l|}$ we have

Thus, the functions $f_{1}(\zeta)$ and $f_{2}(\zeta)$ are linearly independent, which contradicts (28). The contradiction proves the linear independence of the functions $\omega_{a}^{+}(\zeta)$ and $\omega_{a}^{-}(\zeta)$.

As a result, taking into account Propositions 3 and 4, Theorem 1, and Remark 2, we arrive at the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Let a matrix $J$ of the form (4) be such that $|l(J)|>2$ in (16). Moreover, assume that its eigenvector $\boldsymbol{y}$ is not proportional to a real vecor. Then in the domain $D$ bounded by a contour $\Gamma$ of the form (21), there exist two linearly independent solutions $\omega_{a}^{+}(\zeta)$ and $\omega_{a}^{-}(\zeta)$ of the homogeneous Schwarz problem (2), which correspond to the matrix $J$ and the values of the parameter $a= \pm \sqrt{2|l|}$.

Now we summarize the results. Let a matrix $J_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ have a multiple eigenvalue $\lambda=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}$ i, where $\lambda_{2} \neq 0$, and the corresponding eigenvector $\boldsymbol{y}$ is not proportional to a real vector. As above, we denote by $Q=(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})$ a Jordan basis of this matrix. For the matrix $J_{\lambda}$, the number $l_{1}=l_{1}\left(J_{\lambda}\right)$ is defined by the same formula (5). Therefore, $l=l\left(J_{\lambda}\right)$ is defined by the formula (16).

Remark 4. Proposition 1 is also valid for matrices with an arbitrary multiple eigenvalue $\lambda$ since its proof was based only on the Jordan form of the matrix $J$.

Proposition 5. Let a matrix $J$ have the form (4). We introduce the notation $J_{\lambda}=\lambda_{1} E+\lambda_{2} J$, where $\lambda_{2} \neq 0$. Then, taking into account the notation (5) and (16), the following equalities hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|l_{1}\left(J_{\lambda}\right)\right|=\left|\lambda_{2} \cdot l_{1}(J)\right|, \quad\left|l\left(J_{\lambda}\right)\right|=\left|\lambda_{2} \cdot l(J)\right| \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Taking into account Remark 4, we apply Proposition 1. We choose Jordan bases of the matrices $J$ and $J_{\lambda}$. We take a vector $\boldsymbol{x} \neq 0$ such that

$$
(J-i E) \boldsymbol{x} \neq 0, \quad\left(J_{\lambda}-\lambda E\right) \boldsymbol{x} \neq 0 .
$$

Then

$$
Q_{\lambda}=\left(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}_{1}\right)=\left(\boldsymbol{x},\left(J_{\lambda}-\lambda E\right) \boldsymbol{x}\right)
$$

is a Jordan basis of $J_{\lambda}$. Note that

$$
J_{\lambda}-\lambda E=\lambda_{1} E+\lambda_{2} J-\lambda_{1} E-\lambda_{2} i E=\lambda_{2}(J-i E) .
$$

Moreover, $Q=\left(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}_{2}\right)=(\boldsymbol{x},(J-i E) \boldsymbol{x})$ is a Jordan basis for $J$. Thus, the generalized eigenvector $\boldsymbol{x}$ in both cases is the same, while the eigenvectors are related by the relation $\boldsymbol{y}_{1}=\lambda_{2} \boldsymbol{y}_{2}$. Substituting this equality into (5) and taking into account (16) and Proposition 1, we obtain (29).

As above, assume that the matrix $J_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ has a multiple eigenvalue $\lambda$. Then the matrix $J_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ has a multiple eigenvalue $i$. Let the conditions of Theorem 2 be fulfilled for $J$, i.e., $|l(J)|>2$. By virtue of Proposition 5, this inequality is equivalent to the inequality $\left|l\left(J_{\lambda}\right)\right|>2\left|\lambda_{2}\right|$. In the solutions $\omega_{a}^{ \pm}(\zeta)$ of the homogeneous Schwarz problem corresponding to the matrix $J$, we perform the following invertible substitution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=x^{\prime}+\lambda_{1} y^{\prime}, \quad y=\lambda_{2} y^{\prime}, \quad \lambda_{2} \neq 0 . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to show that after the substitution (30), the functions $\omega_{a}^{ \pm}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ become $J$-analytic functions with the matrix $J_{\lambda}$. Obviously, they remain linearly independent. After the substitution (30) into (21),
we see that the pair of functions $\omega_{a}^{ \pm}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ is a solution of the problem (2) in the domain $D_{\lambda}$ bounded by the following contour $\Gamma_{\lambda}=\partial D_{\lambda}$ :

$$
\Gamma_{\lambda}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime}=\cos 2 t+a \cos t-\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}(\sin 2 t+a \sin t),  \tag{31}\\
y^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{2}}(\sin 2 t+a \sin t),
\end{array} \quad t \in(-\pi, \pi], \quad|a|>2, \quad \lambda_{2} \neq 0 .\right.
$$

As a result, taking into account Theorem 2 and (29), we arrive at the following theorem, which is the main result of the present paper.

Theorem 3. Let a matrix $J_{\lambda}$ have a multiple eigenvalue $\lambda=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} i, \lambda_{2} \neq 0$, and the corresponding eigenvector is not proportional to a real vector. Moreover, let $|l|=\left|l\left(J_{\lambda}\right)\right|>2\left|\lambda_{2}\right|$ in the formula (16). Then in the domain $D$ bounded by the contour $\Gamma$ (see (31)), there exist two linearly independent solutions $\omega_{a}^{+}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ and $\omega_{a}^{-}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ of the homogeneous Schwarz problem (2), which correspond to the matrix $J_{\lambda}$ and the values of the parameter $a= \pm \sqrt{\left|2 l / \lambda_{2}\right|}$.

As an example, we construct two functions $\omega_{a}^{+}(\zeta)$ and $\omega_{a}^{-}(\zeta)$, which correspond to the matrix $J$ of the form (3) considered above with a multiple eigenvalue $\lambda=i$. The Jordan form $J_{1}$ and a Jordan basis $Q$ (defined nonuniquely) of the matrix (3) are as follows:

$$
J_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
i & 0  \tag{32}\\
1 & i
\end{array}\right), \quad Q=(x, \boldsymbol{y})=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 3 i \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
4 i & 9 \\
1 & -2 i
\end{array}\right)=Q J_{1} Q^{-1} .
$$

In (32), the eigenvector $\boldsymbol{y}=(J-i E) \cdot \boldsymbol{x}=(3 i, 1)$ of the matrix $J$ is not proportional to a real vector. According to (16), we have $l=l(J)=3>2$, so we can apply Theorem 2 for $J$. According to (17), we find

$$
\omega_{a}^{ \pm}(\zeta)=(\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}, \boldsymbol{y}) \cdot\left(f, 3 \bar{\zeta} \frac{d f}{d \zeta}+F\right)^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-3 i & 3 i  \tag{33}\\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right) \cdot\binom{f}{3 \bar{\zeta} \frac{d f}{d \zeta}+F}=\binom{9 i \bar{\zeta} \frac{d f}{d \zeta}-3 i f+3 i F}{3 \bar{\zeta} \frac{d f}{d \zeta}+f+F}
$$

Both functions $\omega_{a}^{+}(\zeta)$ and $\omega_{a}^{-}(\zeta)$ are calculated by the same formula (33). However, obviously, the functions $f(\zeta)=f(z(\zeta))$ and $F(\zeta)=F(z(\zeta))$ in (33) for $a=+\sqrt{2 l}$ and $a=-\sqrt{2 l}$ are different; we find them by the formulas (25), (23), (26), (27), and (20). We write these functions for $\omega_{a}^{+}(\zeta)$ in (33):

$$
\begin{gathered}
l=3, \quad a=+\sqrt{2 l}=\sqrt{6}, \quad c_{1}=1, \quad c_{2}=-\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} \\
f(z)=z-\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} z^{2}, \quad F(z)=\frac{16}{2 z+\sqrt{6}}, \quad z(\zeta)=\sqrt{\zeta+\frac{3}{2}}-\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}, \quad \zeta \in D,
\end{gathered}
$$

and for $\omega_{a}^{-}(\zeta)$ in (33):

$$
\begin{array}{cll}
l=3, \quad a=-\sqrt{2 l}=-\sqrt{6}, & c_{1}=1, \quad c_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} \\
f(z)=z+\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} z^{2}, \quad F(z)=\frac{16}{2 z-\sqrt{6}}, \quad z(\zeta)=\sqrt{\zeta+\frac{3}{2}}+\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}, \quad \zeta \in D .
\end{array}
$$

In this case, according to the formula (21), taking into account Proposition 3, we conclude that the contour of $\Gamma=\partial D$ has the following parametrization:

$$
\Gamma:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x=\cos 2 t+\sqrt{6} \cos t, \\
y=\sin 2 t+\sqrt{6} \sin t,
\end{array} \quad t \in[0,2 \pi) .\right.
$$

The fact that the function (33) corresponds to a matrix $J$ of the form (32) is verified by substitution in (1). The equality $\left.\operatorname{Re} \omega(\zeta)\right|_{\Gamma}=0$, where $\Gamma=\zeta(\gamma)$, for (33) is not as obvious as for vector quadratic
forms. We prove this equality. According to the algorithm described above with $l=3$, the functions $f$ and $F$ in (33) are found from the condition

$$
3 \bar{\zeta} \frac{d f}{d \zeta}+\bar{f}+\left.F\right|_{\Gamma}=0
$$

from which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.F\right|_{\Gamma}=-3 \bar{\zeta} \frac{d f}{d \zeta}-\bar{f} . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (34) into (33) we obtain the equality

$$
\left.\omega_{a}^{ \pm}(\zeta)\right|_{\Gamma}=(-6 i \operatorname{Re} f, 2 i \operatorname{Im} f)
$$

whence $\left.\operatorname{Re} \omega_{a}^{ \pm}(\zeta)\right|_{\Gamma}=0$, which proves the statement.
4. Relationship between the parameter $l_{1}$ and the number $t_{J}$. Let us consider an interesting generalization of the formula (5). Let $\lambda=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} i, \lambda_{2} \neq 0$. We introduce the notation

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11} & a_{12}  \tag{35}\\
a_{21} & a_{22}
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{21} \neq 0, \quad t_{J}=\frac{\left|\lambda_{2} \cdot a_{21}\right|}{\left|\operatorname{Im}\left[\bar{a}_{21}\left(a_{11}-\lambda\right)\right]\right|} \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

The following assertion was proved in [2].
Theorem 4. Let a nontriangular matrix $J \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ of the form (35) have a multiple eigenvalue $\lambda=$ $\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} i, \lambda_{2} \neq 0$, and let the corresponding eigenvector be not proportional to a real vector. Then the existence of the corresponding solutions of the problem (2) in the form of quadratic vector-forms is equivalent to the condition $0<t_{J}<1$ in (35).

In particular, for the matrix $J$ of the form (3), we have $t_{J}=1 / 3$.
The number $l_{1}(J)$ defined by the formula (5) was not considered in [2]. We prove the following assertion.

Proposition 6. The number $t_{J}$ from (35) and the number $l_{1}$ from (5) are related by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{J}=\frac{2\left|\lambda_{2}\right|}{\left|l_{1}\right|} . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Based on Remark 4, we use Proposition 1. To calculate the absolute value $\left|l_{1}\right|$ of the number $l_{1}$ (see (5)), we choose the following Jordan basis $Q$ of the matrix $J$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{x}=(1,0), \quad \boldsymbol{y}=(J-\lambda E) \cdot \boldsymbol{x}=\left(a_{11}-\lambda, a_{21}\right), \quad a_{21} \neq 0, \quad Q=(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the formula (5), taking into account the notation (35), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
l_{1}= & \frac{\operatorname{det}(\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}, \boldsymbol{y})}{\operatorname{det}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})}=\frac{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\overline{a_{11}-\lambda} & a_{11}-\lambda \\
a_{21} & a_{21}
\end{array}\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
1 & a_{11}-\lambda \\
0 & a_{21}
\end{array}\right|}=\frac{a_{21} \cdot\left(\overline{a_{11}-\lambda}\right)-\bar{a}_{21} \cdot\left(a_{11}-\lambda\right)}{a_{21}} \\
& =\frac{a_{21} \cdot\left(\overline{a_{11}-\lambda}\right)-\overline{a_{21} \cdot\left(\overline{a_{11}-\lambda}\right)}}{a_{21}}=\frac{2 \operatorname{Im}\left[a_{21} \cdot\left(\overline{a_{11}-\lambda}\right)\right] \cdot i}{a_{21}}=\frac{-2 \operatorname{Im}\left[\bar{a}_{21} \cdot\left(a_{11}-\lambda\right)\right] \cdot i}{a_{21}} \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

From (38), taking into account (5) and (35), we obtain (36).
By virtue of Proposition 6, we reformulate Theorem 4 as follows.

Theorem 5. Let a nontriangular matrix $J \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ have a multiple eigenvalue $\lambda=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} i, \lambda_{2} \neq 0$, and let the corresponding eigenvector be not proportional to a real vector. Then the existence of the corresponding solutions of the problem (2) in the form of quadratic vector-forms is equivalent to the condition $\left|l_{1}(J)\right|>2\left|\lambda_{2}\right|$ in (5).
5. Conclusion. Instead of the unit circle, one could consider a circle of arbitrary radius $r$ for constructing the functions $\omega_{a}^{ \pm}(\zeta), \zeta \in D$, for $\lambda=i$. However, simple calculations show that this will not lead to an improvement in the estimate for (i.e., the decreasing the value of $|l|$ ) in Theorems 2 and 3. Moreover, we note the following fact: in Theorem 3, we have $\left|l\left(J_{\lambda}\right)\right|>2\left|\lambda_{2}\right|$, i.e., according to (16), we have $\left|l_{1}\left(J_{\lambda}\right)\right|>4\left|\lambda_{2}\right|$. Therefore, by virtue of Theorem 5, for all matrices satisfying the conditions of Theorem 3, solutions of the problem (2) in the form of quadratic vector-forms are also possible. Of course, they can be defined on ellipses.
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